

## **DISKEEPER HEALTHCARE REFERENCES**

*“Administering Diskeeper on a large number of servers is a piece of cake. Performance data is easily accessible, as is licensing information. Deployments, upgrades, updates and removals are all just a few clicks away. Rolling out the upgrades is easy. I didn’t have a single issue with the more than 300 servers we upgraded.*”

*“IntelliWrite and Instant Defrag do an excellent job of keeping fragmentation from accumulating and make a noticeable difference in performance.*”

*Anecdotally, I’ve noticed fewer servers showing up in the Dashboard with moderate or critical fragmentation and when they do, the fragmentation is milder than previously. I sound like an ad, but Diskeeper is one of the few tools that always work smoothly, and I appreciate that. Using Diskeeper is the easiest part of my job. It lets me dedicate more of my time to other systems that really need the attention.”*

**Jason Tallon, System Engineer, Data Center Services, Rapid City Regional Hospital**

*“I have installed Diskeeper on our EMC SAN clustered servers. We started at 40% fragmentation on our E drive and 43% fragmentation on our F drive. In a few hours were at 0% for both. We have had such performance issues lately and I’m sure we will notice the difference. I am absolutely amazed at how easy Diskeeper was to install and configure.”*

**Dawn Michelle, Partners HealthCare - Brigham & Women's Hospital**

*“This company is an attentive and reliable software provider, offering technically knowledgeable and capable service support with an optimized file system that requires minimal administrator intervention. This is particularly important when we are dealing with efficient access to large volumes of medical images within our PACS repository. The problems we had before were slower access to image data from our large archive and the Windows 2000 server struggled at high disk capacity. After deployment of Diskeeper we saw improved disk input/output, and Windows 2008 server performance is now optimal.*”

*“Absolutely, we have a large image data repository and are pleased to say we have efficient read/write capability. We use iSCSI, SAN and McKesson’s medical imaging solution: PACS (picture archiving and communication system). We saw improved read/write times and faster disk I/O’s when I changed the default Diskeeper settings to <Extensive> defrag of large volumes.”*

**Bahadar Bhatia, Clinical Scientist, Sandwell & West Birmingham NHS Trust  
Medical Physics & Nuclear Medicine, London, GB**

*“Our end users state that performance improved after we implemented Diskeeper EnterpriseServer. They had been suffering from slow access to the database and to huge systems images. This is much better now. Our main goal for using the Diskeeper has been achieved and people are seeing the difference. Giving references is the least thing we can afford in paying back your reputable company for availing this fine product.*”

*“We are mainly a Health Sector solutions provider for the whole Middle East and North Africa, for variety vendors with high focus on Philips solutions. When it comes to IT products we use different brands like IBM, HP with Microsoft platforms only, and on top of all that the medical and health solutions like Cardiac Pictures and Archiving solutions, CPACK, and patients monitoring.”*

**Ayman A. Nimer, Technology Services Segment Manager, Al Faisaliah Medical Systems  
Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia**

*“Our primary problem was fragmentation within our document management system. The system is hosted across the application server and a SQL database. We intake about 400 documents a day and maintain a store of over 800,000 files. Prior to using Diskeeper our document access was starting to suffer and users were complaining about the search and retrieval speeds. SQL optimizations and scheduled disk defrags with the Windows build-in tool did not offer much of an improvement.*”

*“After installing Diskeeper and letting it process the volumes containing the document store we were shocked to see how much fragmentation was reported. After the initial defrag was done our search and retrieval speed doubled. Our users say that our Document Management System is as fast now as it was when we originally deployed it. Diskeeper is one of the best investments we have made.”*

**Gene Goroschko, Vice President, Information Systems, Physicians Endoscopy**

*“We initially deployed Diskeeper when Windows NT4 was new to keep seek times at acceptable levels. The IntelliWrite technology has successfully taken a lot of the resource load off large drive volume servers.*”

*We run HP Proliant BL, DL & ML class servers with many various applications. We also run a lot of IIS, SQL server, SCCM, AD, Exchange, etc. The clinical apps we use are almost exclusively HBOC/McKesson products, HBI, HPF, PMM/PFM, to name a few. We are also using Diskeeper on our large Citrix presentation and backend servers.*

*We found Diskeeper to be a big benefit on our virtual machines. A fragmented VM can take a long time to back up using VMware’s consolidated backup. We use TrendMicro’s Officescan for AV and it plays very well with Diskeeper. I recall no instance where AV scan exceptions were required for proper Diskeeper behavior. I have no qualms whatsoever about recommending Diskeeper.”*

**Chris Barr, System Administrator, Saint-Luke’s Hospital**